

The time decay in the definition of $X_{p+1}^{\alpha}(\bar{t}, \alpha)$ or $X_{p+1}^{1\alpha}(\bar{t}, \alpha)$.

i.e. we $\in L^q(\bar{t}, \alpha; L^2)$, with (q, r) compatible if not
the optimal available for $u(t) = U(t)u_0$. In fact

$$\|u(t) = U(t)u_0\|_r \leq C |t|^{-\frac{\delta(r)}{r}} \|u_0\|_2$$

where $2 \leq r \leq \alpha$ and $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{\alpha} = 1$, for $u_0 \in L^2$

(Check it on gaussian u_0 , where an explicit computation
can be done.)

But the spaces $L^r, L^{\bar{r}}$ are not preserved by the evolution
 $U(t)$. Convenient spaces are introduced by the use of
the operator

$$J(t) = x + it\nabla$$

This operator is the infinitesimal generator of the
Galilei transformations: for any function of time-space
we define, for any vector v (velocity) def

$$\begin{cases} x \rightarrow x - vt \\ t \rightarrow t \end{cases}$$

Galilei-tranform of
space free

$$(G_v u)(t, x) = \exp\left\{i\left(x \cdot v - \frac{1}{2}v^2 t\right)\right\} u(t, x - vt)$$

$$\therefore (\nabla_v G_v u)(t, x) \Big|_{v=0} = i J(t) u(t, x)$$

Some of the properties of $J(t)$:

$$a) J(t) U(t-t') = U(t-t') J(t') \quad \otimes$$

In particular:

$$J(t) U(t) = U(t) x$$

Useful in:

$$J(t) \int_{t_0}^t dt' U(t-t') f(u(t')) = \int_{t_0}^t dt' U(t-t') J(t') f(u(t'))$$

$$\text{Def } M(t) = \exp \frac{i x^2}{2t} \quad (\text{multiplication by } \dots) \quad t \neq 0$$

$$b) M(t) i t \nabla M(-t) = J(t)$$

Useful in: From Sobolev $\in L(\mathbb{R}^n)$: $\|v\|_2 \leq C \|v\|_2^{1-\delta(n)} \| \nabla v \|_2^{\delta(n)}$

$$\text{for } \delta(n) \leq 1 \quad \text{if } n \geq 3$$

$$\delta(n) < 1 \quad \text{if } n = 2$$

$$\delta(n) \leq \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{if } n = 1$$

Apply the previous inequality to $M(-t)u(t)$:

$$\|u(t)\|_2 \leq C_2 \|u(t)\|_2^{1-\delta(n)} \|\nabla M(-t)u(t)\|_2^{\delta(n)}$$

$$= C_1 |t|^{-\delta(n)} \|u(t)\|_2^{1-\delta(n)} \|\mathcal{J}(t)u(t)\|_2^{\delta(n)} \quad \times$$

\therefore If we use a space where $\|\mathcal{J}(t)u(t)\|_2 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \infty; L^2)$ the $u(t)$ has the optimal decay.

This suggest the definition of the spaces.

$$\Sigma = \left\{ u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad x u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \right\} \quad (\text{replacement of } H^1)$$

and

$$Y_n^1(I) = \left\{ u \in C(I, \Sigma); u(\cdot), \nabla u(\cdot), \mathcal{J}(\cdot)u(\cdot) \in L^q(I, L^2) \quad q \text{ is admissible} \right\}$$

$$Y_n^1(I) = \left\{ u \in C(I, \Sigma); u, \nabla u, \mathcal{J}u \in L^q(I, L^2) \quad \forall (q, n) \text{ admissible} \right\}$$

c) From $i t \nabla (|u|^{p-1} u) = |u|^{p-1} u (i \nabla_t u) + (p-1) |u|^{p-2} u \operatorname{Im} \frac{\bar{u}}{|u|} (i \Delta u)$

$$\mathcal{J}(t)|u|^{p-1} u = |u|^{p-1} \mathcal{J}(t)u + (p-1) |u|^{p-2} \operatorname{Im} \frac{\bar{u}}{|u|} \mathcal{J}(t)u$$

$\therefore |\mathcal{J}(t)(|u|^{p-1} u)| \leq p |u|^{p-1} |\mathcal{J}(t)u| \quad \times$

Proposition 1 V P_∞ in Σ for NLS. Let $p > 1 + \frac{4}{m+2}$ for $m \geq 2$, 25

$\gamma_1 > 3$ for $n=1$ and $p-1 < \frac{4}{(n-2)}$ for $n \geq 3$. Then

(1) For any $u_+ \in \Sigma$, there $\exists T = T(u_+)$ such that the (1E_∞)

$$u(t) = U(t)u_+ - i \int_{-\infty}^t dt' U(t-t') f(u(t'))$$

with $f(u) = \lambda |u|^{p-1} u$ $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$

has a unique solution in $Y_{p+2}^1(I)$ with $I = [\bar{T}, \infty)$. Furthermore

$u \in Y^1(I)$ and u is continuous from Σ to $Y^1(I)$.

(2) The solution admits u_+ as asymptotic state in Σ , i.e.

$$\|U(-t)u(t) - u_+; \Sigma\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{when } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. The first part of the proof is the same as the same part of the proof of Proposition 1 V P_∞.

Using (a), (c), precisely \otimes and \times , we have,

for $(g_1, r_1), (g_1, r_1), (g_2, r_2)$ admissible :

$$\|F(u_1) - F(u_2); L^{q_2}(I, L^{r_2})\| \leq \bar{C} \|u_1 - u_2; L^q(I, L^r)\| \max_{j=1,2} \|u_j; L^{q_3}(I, L^m)\|^{p-1}$$

$$\|J(\cdot)F(u); L^{q_2}(I, L^{r_2})\| \leq \bar{C} \|J(\cdot)u; L^q(I, L^r)\| \|u; L^{q_3}(I, L^m)\|^{p-1}$$

where $I = [\bar{T}, \infty)$, with the following equations for the parameters

$$(g_1, r_1), \quad q_3, m$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (\beta-1) \left(\frac{n}{2} - \delta(m) \right) = 2\delta(n) \\ (\beta-1) \left(\frac{n}{2} - \delta(m) + \frac{2}{q_3} \right) = 2 \end{array} \right. \quad \begin{array}{l} (*)_1 \\ (*)_2 \end{array}$$

which are Eqs. (1), (2) of pagg (Proof of Inv in H^1 for NLS).

where we have chosen $\delta(s_2) = \delta(n)$ ($q_2 = q$). Now

The treatment of $\| u; L^{q_3}(I, L^m) \|$ is different from that in the case H^1 . From b), precisely ~~⊗~~, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \| u(t) \|_m &\leq C_m |t|^{-\delta(m)} \| u(t) \|_2 \| J(t)u(t) \|_2^{1-\delta(m)} \\ &\leq C |t|^{-\delta(m)} \| u; Y_2^1(I) \| \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{for } 0 \leq \delta(m) \leq 1 \quad \begin{cases} & n \geq 3 \\ & \downarrow \end{cases}$$

$$0 \leq \delta(m) < 1 \quad \begin{cases} & n=2 \\ & \downarrow \end{cases}$$

$$0 \leq \delta(m) \leq \frac{1}{2} \quad \begin{cases} & n=1 \\ & \downarrow \end{cases}$$

In order to achieve that $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_T^\infty dt \| u \|_m^{q_3} = 0$

(needed for the contradiction) we require $q_3 \delta(m) > 1$.

So we have to look for parameters that satisfy $(*)_1$ and $(*)_2$,

with the condition $\delta(m) > \frac{1}{q_3} > 0$ which is equivalent to

$$-\delta(m) < \rho \equiv \delta(m) - \frac{2}{q_3} < \delta(m)$$

Inserting the previous inequalities inside $(*)_2$ yields

$$(p-1) \left(\frac{m}{2} - \delta(m) \right) < 2 < (p-1) \left(\frac{m}{2} + \delta(m) \right) \quad (**)$$

which provides the upper and lower strict bounds for $(p-1)$.

- If $m \geq 3$ we choose $\delta(m) = 1$, so that $p = 1 - \frac{2}{q_3}$

and $(**)$ becomes

$$(p-1) \left(\frac{m}{2} - 1 \right) < 2 < (p-1) \left(\frac{m}{2} + 1 \right) \quad (**)_3$$

They are the restriction on p of the statement.

For $1 < q_3 < \infty \quad -1 < p < 1$ so that p , determined

as function of p spans the interval $\frac{4}{m+2} < p-1 < \frac{4}{m-2}$

- If $m=2$ we choose $\delta(m) = 1-\varepsilon$ so that $(**)$

becomes

$$(p-1)\varepsilon < 2 < (p-1)(2+\varepsilon) \quad (**)_2$$

and we have the restriction on p of the statement.

$p = 1 - \frac{2}{q_3} -$ and so spans the allowed interval.

- If $m=1$ we choose $\delta(m) = \frac{1}{2}$ so that $(**)$ becomes

$$0 < 2 < p-1$$

i.e. The restrictions on p . As previously, p spans the allowed

since $p-1 = \frac{2}{\frac{1}{2}-p}$ and $-\frac{1}{2} < p < \frac{1}{2}$.

In the case $n \geq 3$)

The existence of $\delta(n) < 1$ which satisfies $(*)_1$ is a consequence of the left inequality of $(***)$.

In the case $n=2$ the left inequality of $(***)_2$ gives

$$(p-1)\varepsilon = 2\delta(n)$$

In the case $n=1$ $(*)_1$ is satisfied with $\delta(n)=0$ (i.e. $n=2$)

and $p-1 = q_3$ with $q_3 > 2$.

Finally we have to check that

$$(p-1) \left(\frac{n}{2} - \delta(n) \right) \leq 2\delta(p+1)$$

since we state the $\exists!$ in $Y_{(p+1)}^1$.

Case $n \geq 3$: $\frac{n}{2}-1 \leq 2 \cdot \frac{\delta(p+1)}{p-1} = \frac{n}{p+1}$

$$\longleftrightarrow (p+1)\left(\frac{n}{2}-1\right) = (p-1)\left(\frac{n}{2}-1\right) + (n-2) \leq n$$

which is satisfied from $(p-1)\left(\frac{n}{2}-1\right) < 2$

Case $n=2$ $(p-1)\varepsilon < 2\delta(p+1) \longleftrightarrow \varepsilon < \frac{2}{p+1}$ O.K.

by choosing ε small enough

Case $n=1$ O.K. since $\delta(n)=0$.

□

Proposition IVP₀ in Σ for HE. Let $n \geq 2$, let V complex function $\in L^{\frac{n}{j}}$, with $j \geq 1$ and $1 < \frac{n}{j} < 4$. Let τ_0 such that $\delta(\tau_0) = \max\left(\frac{n}{4j}, \frac{n}{2j} - \frac{1}{2}\right)$. Let $u_+ \in \Sigma$. Then

(1) For any $u_+ \in \Sigma$, there $\exists T = T(u_+)$ such that the IEs with $f(u) = (V * |u|^2)u$ has a unique solution $u \in Y_{\tau_0}^1(I)$ where $I = [\bar{T}, \infty)$. Furthermore $u \in Y^1(I)$ and is a continuous function of $u_+ \in H^1$ with values in $Y^1(I)$.

(2) The solution u admits u_+ as asymptotic state in Σ , i.e.,

$$\| U(-t)u(t) - u_+ ; \Sigma \| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{when } t \rightarrow \infty$$

Proof. The proof uses elements from IVP₀ in Σ for NLS and IVP₀ in H^1 for HE. After the usual estimates it remains to prove the convergence of the integral norm

$$\| u ; L^{q_3}(I, L^m) \|$$

for what it is sufficient $0 < \gamma_{q_3} < \delta(\mu)$. The restriction among the parameters is given by

$$\frac{n}{s} = 2(\delta(u) + \delta(m)) \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{2}{q_3} = 1 - \delta(u) \quad (2)$$

where we have taken $\delta(u) = \delta(u_1)$ (see Eqs at the top of pg. 20 at the beginning of the proof of IVPs in H^1 for HE).

From (1) we obtain

$$2\delta(m) \leq \frac{n}{s} < 4 \quad (3)$$

since $0 \leq \delta(u), \delta(m) \leq 1$ for $n \geq 3$ and $0 \leq \delta(u), \delta(m) < 1$

for $n=2$. In the case $n \geq 3$ the equality at the RHS is excluded because we need $q_3 < \infty$. From (2) and the integrability

condition $\frac{2}{q_3} = 1 - \delta(u) < 2\delta(m)$ we obtain from (1)

$$2(1 - \delta(m)) < \frac{n}{s} \quad (4)$$

Comparison of inequalities (3), (4) yields the lower bound

$$t < \frac{n}{s}$$

So the remaining part of the proof consists in checking that the s_0 of the statement of the proposition

allow to solve Eqs. (1) and (2) in the interval

$$\frac{1}{4} \leq \frac{n}{41} < 1$$

with $r_0 = r$. From the definition of σ we have

$$\delta(r) = \begin{cases} \frac{n}{41} & \text{for } \frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{n}{41} < 1 \\ \frac{n}{21} - \frac{1}{2} & \text{for } \frac{1}{4} < \frac{n}{41} \leq \frac{1}{2} \end{cases} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{Region A} \\ \text{Region B} \end{array}$$

Region A. Define $\delta(m) = \frac{n}{21} - \delta(r) = \frac{n}{41} = \delta(r)$

$$0 < \frac{2}{q_3} = 1 - \delta(r) < 2\delta(r) = 2\delta(m) \quad \text{o.k. integrability}$$

Region B Define $\delta(m) = \frac{n}{21} - \left(\frac{n}{21} - \frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2}$

$$0 < \frac{2}{q_3} = 1 - \delta(r) < 2\delta(m) = 1$$

□

Pseudo-Conformal Law

PC 1

Def. $M(t) \equiv \exp\left(\frac{ix^2}{2t}\right)$

$$(D_0(t)v)(x) \equiv v(t^{-1}x) \quad t \neq 0$$

$$D(t) \equiv (it)^{-\frac{n}{2}} D_0(t)$$

$$\begin{cases} (it)^{\frac{n}{2}} = |t|^{\frac{n}{2}} \exp(i \frac{n}{2} \frac{\pi}{2} \sigma(t)) = |t|^{\frac{n}{2}} \exp(i n \frac{\pi}{4} \sigma(t)) \\ \sigma(t) = \operatorname{sgn} t \end{cases}$$

Def. For a function of space-time \mathbb{R}^{n+1} define u_c , function of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} by

$$u(t) = M(t) D(t) \overline{u_c(\frac{1}{t})}. \quad (*)$$

Explicitly $u(t, x) = (it)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp\left(\frac{ix^2}{2t}\right) \overline{u_c(t^{-1}, t^{-1}x)}$

Remark. It holds: $u_c(t) = M(t) D(t) \overline{u(\frac{1}{t})}$

i.e. the transformation $u_c \rightarrow u$ is an involution.

Proof: By direct check:

$$\overline{D(t)} D(\frac{1}{t}) = D(t) \overline{D(\frac{1}{t})} = 1\mathbf{l}$$

$$M(t) D(t) = D(t) M(\frac{1}{t})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{From } (*) : \quad \overline{u(\frac{1}{t})} &= \overline{M(\frac{1}{t})} \overline{D(\frac{1}{t})} u_c(t) \\ &\equiv \overline{D(\frac{1}{t})} \overline{M(t)} u_c(t) \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore u_c(t) \equiv \overline{M(t)}^{-1} \overline{D(\frac{1}{t})}^{-1} \overline{u(\frac{1}{t})}$$

□

The unitary group $U(t)$ can be expressed through $D(\cdot)$, $M(\cdot)$ and F

where

$$Fv(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int dx e^{-i\xi \cdot x} v(x)$$

$$U(t) = M(t) D(t) F M(t)$$

In fact

$$\begin{aligned} (U(t)v)(x) &= (2\pi i t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int dy e^{\frac{i}{2t}(x-y)^2} v(y) \\ &= e^{(i/2t)x^2} (it)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int \frac{dy}{(2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{-i\frac{x}{t} \cdot y} e^{(i/2t)y^2} v(y). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma (1) Let $u \in \mathcal{E}([T, \infty), L^2)$ and $u_+ \in L^2$. Suppose that

$$L^2\text{-}\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} U(t)^{-1} u(t) = u_+$$

Then $u_c \in \mathcal{E}([0, T^*], L^2)$ with $u_c(0) = v = \overline{Fu_+}$

(2) Let $u_c \in \mathcal{E}([0, T^*]; L^2)$ with $u_c(0) = v_+ = \overline{Fu_+}$.

Then $u \in \mathcal{E}([T, \infty), L^2)$ and it exists

$$L^2\text{-}\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} U(t)^{-1} u(t) = u_+$$

Proof. From $u(t) = M(t) D(t) \overline{u_c(\tau/t)}$

and $U(t) = M(t) D(t) F M(t)$

we can write

$$\left\| \tilde{U(t)} u(t) - u_+ \right\|_2 = \left\| u(t) - U(t) u_+ \right\|_2 = \left\| \overline{u_c(1/t)} - F M(t) u_+ \right\|_2.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left\| \tilde{U(t)} u(t) - u_+ \right\|_2 - \left\| \overline{u_c(1/t)} - F u_+ \right\|_2 \right| \\ &= \left\| \left\| \overline{u_c(1/t)} - F M(t) u_+ \right\|_2 - \left\| \overline{u_c(1/t)} - F u_+ \right\|_2 \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| F M(t) u_+ - F u_+ \right\|_2 = \| M(t) u_+ - u_+ \|_2 \end{aligned}$$

The proof is concluded by the remark that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \| M(t) u_+ - u_+ \|_2 = 0$

by the Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem.

□

Useful formulae:

$$\nabla M(t) = M(t) (\nabla + i E^{-1} \mathbf{x})$$

$$(\nabla + i E^{-1} \mathbf{x}) D(t) = D(t) \left(E^{-1} \nabla + i \mathbf{x} \right)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \Delta M(t) D(t) = \frac{1}{2} M(t) D(t) \left(E^{-1} \nabla + i \mathbf{x} \right)^2 = M(t) D(t) \left\{ \frac{1}{2} E^2 \Delta - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^2 + i E^{-1} \mathbf{x} \cdot \nabla + i \frac{E^{-1}}{2} n \right\}$$

$$i \hat{\partial}_t D_o(t) = D_o(t) \left(i \hat{\partial}_t - \mathbf{x} \cdot \nabla \right)$$

$$i \hat{\partial}_t D(t) = D(t) \left\{ i \hat{\partial}_t - i E^{-1} \left(\mathbf{x} \cdot \nabla + \frac{n}{2} \right) \right\}$$

$$i \hat{\partial}_t M(t) = M(t) \left(i \hat{\partial}_t + \frac{E^2}{2} \mathbf{x}^2 \right)$$

$$i \hat{\partial}_t M(t) D(t) = M(t) D(t) \left\{ i \hat{\partial}_t + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^2 - i E^{-1} \left(\mathbf{x} \cdot \nabla + \frac{n}{2} \right) \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \left(i \hat{\partial}_t + \frac{1}{2} \Delta \right) M(t) D(t) &= M(t) D(t) \left(i \hat{\partial}_t + \frac{1}{2} E^2 \Delta \right) \\ &= M(t) D(t) \overline{E^2 \left(i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \Delta \right)} \end{aligned}$$

Finally

$$\left(i \hat{\partial}_t + \frac{1}{2} \Delta \right) u(t) = \left(i \hat{\partial}_t + \frac{1}{2} \Delta \right) M(t) D(t) \overline{u_c(\frac{1}{t})} =$$

$$= M(t) D(t) \overline{E^2 \left(i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \Delta \right) u_c(\frac{1}{t})} = M(t) D(t) \overline{\left[E^2 \left(i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \Delta \right) u_c(t) \right]} \Big|_{t \rightarrow \frac{1}{t}}$$

We now rewrite in terms of u_c the additional terms present in the SE. PC5

(LS) Linear SE with potential V depending only on space.

$$V u(t) = V M(t) D(t) u_c(t^{-1}) = M(t) D(t) \overline{V(t)} u_c(t^{-1})$$

$$\therefore \left(i \frac{\partial}{t} + \frac{1}{2} \Delta \right) u(t) - V u(t) = M(t) D(t) t^{-2} \underbrace{\left\{ \left(i \frac{\partial}{t} + \frac{1}{2} \Delta \right) u_c(t) - t^{-2} \overline{V(t)} u_c(t) \right\}}_{t \rightarrow \frac{1}{t}}$$

$$(NLS): |u(t)|^{p-1} u(t) = M(t) D(t) |t|^{-(p-1)\frac{n}{2}} |u_c(t^{-1})|^{p-1} u_c(t^{-1})$$

$$\therefore \left(i \frac{\partial}{t} + \frac{1}{2} \Delta \right) u(t) - \lambda |u(t)|^{p-1} u(t) = M(t) D(t) t^{-2} \underbrace{\left\{ \left(i \frac{\partial}{t} + \frac{1}{2} \Delta \right) u_c(t) - \lambda t^{\frac{n}{2}-2} |u_c(t)|^{p-1} u_c(t) \right\}}_{t \rightarrow \frac{1}{t}}$$

$$(HE): \left(V_* |u(t)|^2 \right) u(t) = \left(V_* |D(t) u_c(t^{-1})|^2 \right) M(t) D(t) \overline{u_c(t^{-1})}$$

$$= M(t) D(t) \left\{ D_o(t)^{-1} \left(V_* |D(t) u_c(t^{-1})|^2 \right) \right\} \overline{u_c(t^{-1})}$$

$$\text{Now: } \left(D_o(t)^{-1} \left(V_* |D(t) u_c(t^{-1})|^2 \right) \right)(x) =$$

$$\int dy V(t(x-y)) |u_c(t^{-1}, E_y)|^2 |t|^{-n} = \int dy V(t(x-y)) |u_c(t^{-1}, y)|^2$$

$$\therefore \left(i \frac{\partial}{t} + \frac{1}{2} \Delta \right) u(t) - (V_* |u(t)|^2) u(t) = M(t) D(t) t^{-2} \underbrace{\left\{ \left(i \frac{\partial}{t} + \frac{1}{2} \Delta \right) u_c(t) - \overline{V(t)} * (u_c(t) |u_c(t)|^2 t^{-2}) \right\}}_{t \rightarrow \frac{1}{t}}$$

(3)

PC6

Proposition (short/long range) NLS. Let $1 < p \leq 2$ for $n=1,2$ and $1 < p \leq 1 + \frac{2}{n}$ for $n \geq 3$. Let $u_+ \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $u \in \mathcal{C}([\tau, \infty), L^2)$ for some $\tau > 0$ be solution of the NLS equation such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t) - U(t)u_+\|_2 = 0.$$

Then $u_+ = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p < 2 \text{ for } n=2 \text{ and} \\ 0 & \text{if in addition } \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ conservation of the } L^2\text{-norm yields } u = \end{cases}$

Proof. We recall the equation for u_c

$$i \partial_t u_c + \frac{1}{2} \Delta u_c = \bar{\lambda} t^{\gamma-2} |u_c|^{p-1} u_c \quad (*)$$

where we have set $\gamma = (p-1) \frac{n}{2}$. By the Lemma of PC2

$$u_c \in \mathcal{C}([0, \tau], L^2).$$

Integration over space with a test function $\varphi (\in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))$ yields

$$i \partial_t \langle \varphi, u_c \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle \Delta \varphi, u_c \rangle = \bar{\lambda} t^{\gamma-2} \langle \varphi, |u_c|^{p-1} u_c \rangle.$$

By subsequent integration over time with $0 < t_1 < t_2$ we obtain

$$i \left(\langle \varphi, u_c(t_2) \rangle - \langle \varphi, u_c(t_1) \rangle \right) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt' \langle \Delta \varphi, u_c(t') \rangle =$$

$$\bar{\lambda} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt' t'^{\gamma-2} \langle \varphi, |u_+|^{p-1} v_+ \rangle + \bar{\lambda} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt' t'^{\gamma-2} \phi(t') \quad (**)$$

where

$$\phi(t) = \langle \varphi, (|u_c|^{p-1}u_c - |v_+|^{p-1}v_+) \rangle.$$

Obviously to be defined in the equation (*) $|u_c|^p$ must be in some L^k with $1 \leq k \leq \infty$. Now $|u_c|^p \in L^{\frac{2}{p}}$ from the only information we have on u_c , i.e. $u_c \in L^2$. From the assumption on p $1 \leq k = \frac{2}{p}$, so that, the straightforward estimate

$$(|u_c|^{p-1}u_c - |v_+|^{p-1}v_+) \leq C \operatorname{Max}(|u_c|^{p-1}, |v_+|^{p-1}) \|u_c - v_+\|$$

implies

$$|\phi(t)| \leq C \|\varphi\| \operatorname{Max}\left(\|u_c\|_2^{p-1}, \|v_+\|_2^{p-1}\right) \|u_c(t) - v_+\|_2$$

Since u_c is bounded in bounded intervals and

$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|u_c(t) - v_+\|_2 = 0$, we continue the previous estimate in

$$|\phi(t)| \leq C \|u_c(t) - v_+\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{2} |\langle \varphi, |v_+|^{p-1}v_+ \rangle|$$

for all $0 \leq t \leq t_0$ with t_0 suitably chosen, provided

$$\langle \varphi, |v_+|^{p-1}v_+ \rangle \neq 0. \text{ In this case set}$$

$$\langle \varphi, |v_+|^{p-1} v_+ \rangle = |\langle \varphi, (v_+)^{p-1} v_+ \rangle| \omega$$

where $|\omega| = 1$. Then $(**)$ implies

$$\left| \bar{\lambda}^{-1} \bar{\omega} \left\{ i \langle \varphi, u_c(t_2) \rangle - i \langle \varphi, u_c(t_1) \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt' \langle \Delta \varphi, u_c(t') \rangle \right\} \right|$$

$$\left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt' t'^{\gamma-2} \left| \langle \varphi, |v_+|^{p-1} v_+ \rangle \right| + \bar{\omega} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt' t'^{\gamma-2} \phi(t') \right|$$

$$\geq \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt' t'^{\gamma-2} \left(\langle \varphi, |v_+|^{p-1} v_+ \rangle - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt' t'^{\gamma-2} |\phi(t')| \right) \geq$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt' t'^{\gamma-2} \left(\langle \varphi, |v_+|^{p-1} v_+ \rangle \right) \quad \text{for } t_1, t_2 \leq t_0. \quad (***)$$

For $\gamma \leq 1$ we can compute

$$-\int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt' t'^{\gamma-2} = \begin{cases} (1-\gamma)^{-1} (t_1^{\gamma-1} - t_2^{\gamma-1}) & \text{for } \gamma < 1 \\ \ln \frac{t_2}{t_1} & \text{for } \gamma = 1. \end{cases}$$

The above time integral $\xrightarrow[t_1 \rightarrow 0]{} \infty$ so that we

reach a contradiction since the LHS of the inequality $(**)$ has a finite limit as $t_1 \rightarrow 0$.

Therefore $\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ $\langle \varphi, |w_+|^{p-1} v_+ \rangle = 0$ PC9

$$\therefore v_+ = 0 = u_+.$$

Remark: If p satisfies the assumptions listed in the statement of this proposition [with the minor change of the condition $p \leq 2$ for $n=2$ by $p < 2$], the local Cauchy problem can be solved for $u \in \mathcal{C}(\cdot, L^2)$. To see this look at the IVP for NLS pg.2

where the two basic equations for the relevant parameters

$$\frac{1}{r_1} + \frac{p}{q} = 1$$

$$\frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{p}{q} = 1 - \Theta \quad \Theta \leq 0 \leq 1$$

can be solved with $r=2$. This yields

$$(p-1) \frac{n}{2} = \delta(r_1) = \frac{2}{q_1} = 2(1-\Theta)$$

with the conditions of admissibility for (q_1, r_1) , namely

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(r_1) &\leq \frac{1}{2} && \text{for } n=1 \\ &< 1 && \text{for } n=2 \\ &\leq 1 && \text{for } n \geq 3 \end{aligned}$$

which gives $1 < p \leq 2$ for $n=1$, $1 < p < 2$ for $n=2$ and $1 < p \leq 1 + \frac{2}{n}$ for $n \geq 3$

By uniqueness in $\mathcal{C}(\cdot, L^2)$ it follows that $u \in L_{loc}^q(\cdot, L^2)$.

for all (q, r) admissible. With that regularity, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, one can prove the conservation of the L^2 -norm, i.e. $\|u(t)\|_2 = \|u_0\|_2$

Finally we conclude $u(t) = 0$. □

Proposition (short/long range) HE. Let $0 < \gamma < \frac{1}{2}$ for $n=1$, PC10

$0 < \gamma < 1$ for $n=2$ and $0 < \gamma \leq 1$ for $n \geq 3$. Let $u_+ \in L^2$ and

$u \in C([T, \infty), L^2)$ for some $T > 0$ be solution of the HE with $\nabla u = \lambda |x|^\gamma$

such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t) - U(t)u_+\|_2 = 0.$$

Then $u_+ = 0$. Furthermore if $\gamma \leq 1$ for $n \geq 3$ and if $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, conservation of the L^2 -norm yields $u = 0$.

Proof The proof is exactly the same as for NLS, with the equation (*) replaced by the corresponding one for u_c coming from the HE.

In this situation the (***) equation is replaced by the same

LHS while the RHS becomes

$$2 \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt' t'^{\gamma-2} \langle \varphi, ((x)^{-\gamma} * |v_+|^2) v_+ \rangle + 2 \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt' t'^{\gamma-2} \phi(t')$$

where now $\phi(t) = \langle \varphi, ((|x|^{-\gamma} * |u_c(t)|^2) u_c(t) - (x)^{-\gamma} * |v_+|^2) v_+ \rangle$

We now write $V_1 = \begin{cases} |x|^{-\gamma} & \text{for } |x| \geq 1 \\ 0 & \text{for } |x| < 1 \end{cases}$

$$V_2 = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } |x| < 1 \\ |x|^{-\gamma} & \text{for } |x| \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

so that $|x|^{-\gamma} = V_1(x) + V_2(x)$

Notice that $V_1 \in L^{s_1}, V_2 \in L^{s_2}$ with $s_1 = \frac{n}{(\gamma-\varepsilon)}$, $s_2 = \frac{n}{\gamma+\varepsilon}$.

Application of the Young's inequality implies

PG 11

$$|\phi(t)| \leq \sum_{j=1}^2 \|\varphi\|_{\gamma_j} \|v\|_{\gamma_j} \operatorname{Max} \left(\|u_c(t)\|_2^2, \|v_+\|_2^2 \right) \|u_c(t) - v_+\|_2$$

$$\frac{n}{\gamma_j} = \delta(r_j) \iff \frac{1}{\gamma_j} + \frac{1}{\beta_j} = \frac{1}{2}$$

which implies $\gamma_j \geq 2, \beta_j \geq 2$. Now $\gamma_j \geq 2$ is equivalent

to $\frac{\gamma_j \pm \varepsilon}{n} \leq \frac{n}{2}$ which is satisfied by our assumptions.

By exactly the same arguments as for NLS we obtain

$$(|x|^{\gamma_*} |v_+|^2) v_+ = 0$$

This means that $(1 - |\tilde{T}|^{\gamma_*} |v_+|^2)(x) v_+(x) = 0$ almost everywhere

i.e. $\exists N \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ of measure zero such that $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^m \setminus N \in A$

$$(1 - |\tilde{T}|^{\gamma_*} |v_+|^2)(x) v_+(x) = 0 \quad \square$$

If $(1 - |\tilde{T}|^{\gamma_*} |v_+|^2)(x) \neq 0 \quad \forall x \in A$ equality \square implies

$$v_+(x) = 0 \quad \forall x \in A$$

If $\exists x_0 \in A$ such that $(1 - |\tilde{T}|^{\gamma_*} |v_+|^2)(x_0) = 0 \quad \exists M \subset \mathbb{R}^m$

of measure zero such that $\forall y \in \mathbb{R}^m \setminus M \in B$

$$|x_0 - y|^{\gamma_*} |v_+(y)|^2 = 0$$

This implies that $|v_+(y)| = 0 \quad \forall y \in B \setminus \{x_0\}$, and therefore

$$v_+ = 0 \subseteq u_+$$

- Remark If γ satisfies the assumptions listed in the statement of
with the minor change of the condition $\gamma \leq 1$ for $n \geq 3$ by $\gamma < 1$
(this proportionality) the local Cauchy problem for HE with $V = V_1 + V_2$,

$V_j \in L^{q_j}$, $\gamma_j = \frac{n}{(\gamma \pm \varepsilon)}$, $j = 1, 2$, can be solved with $u \in \mathcal{C}(\cdot, L^2)$.

To prove this look at the IVP for HE pag. 4 where the two basic equations for the relevant parameters

$$\frac{1}{n_2} + \frac{3}{n_1} + \frac{1}{\gamma} = 2$$

$$\frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{\gamma}{q} = 1 - \theta \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq 1$$

can be solved with $s=2$. (Here we treat separately the potentials V_1 and V_2). This yields

$$\frac{n}{\gamma} = \delta(n_1) = \frac{2}{q_1} = 2(1-\theta).$$

The usual restriction on n_1 provide exactly the conditions on γ :
 $0 < \gamma < \frac{1}{2}$ for $n=1$ and $0 < \gamma < 1$ for $n \geq 2$.

- By uniqueness in $\mathcal{C}(\cdot, L^2)$ it follows that $u \in L_{loc}^q(\cdot, L^2)$ for all (q, r) admissible. With that regularity, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, one can prove the conservation of the L^2 -norm, i.e. $\|u(t)\|_2 = \|u_0\|_2$.

Finally we conclude $u(t)=0$

□

Proposition (short/long range) LS. Let V be a real function PCB

where $V(x) = \begin{cases} V_1(x) & \text{for } |x| \leq a \\ \lambda|x|^{-\gamma} & \text{for some } a > 0, \end{cases}$

with V_1 real function, $\text{Supp } V_1 \subset \{x: |x| \leq a\}$, $V \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with

$$\gamma \geq \max(2, \frac{n}{2}) \quad \text{for } n \neq 4 \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma \geq 2 \quad \text{for } n=4, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < \gamma \leq 1.$$

Let $u_+ \in L^2$ and let $u(t)$ be solution of the LS (The

LS equation can be solved globally in time by the

unitary group $W(t)$ with generator $H = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta + V$, which is a

Kato perturbation of $-\frac{1}{2}\Delta$. If u is solution of the

$$i\partial_t u + \frac{1}{2}\Delta u = Vu \quad \text{in the weak* sense then}$$

$$\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(H)$$

$$i\partial_t \langle W(t)\varphi, u(t) \rangle = \langle \left(-\frac{1}{2}\Delta + V\right) W\varphi, u(t) \rangle$$

$$+ \langle W(t), \left(-\frac{1}{2}\Delta + V\right) u(t) \rangle = 0$$

$$\therefore \langle \varphi, W(t) u(t) \rangle = \langle \varphi, u(0) \rangle$$

$$\therefore u(t) = W(t) u(0)$$

)

such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t) - W(t)u_+\|_2 = 0.$$

Then $u(t) = 0 \nabla t$.

Proof. The proof is similar to that for NLS and HE. PC 14

The equation for u_c is

$$i \partial_t u_c + \frac{1}{2} \Delta u_c = t^{-2} \left(V_1 \left(\frac{\cdot}{t} \right) \chi_{|x| \leq at} + \lambda t^{-\gamma} l \cdot \bar{l}^\gamma \chi_{|x| \geq at} \right) u_c$$

Integration over space with a test function $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$\text{Supp } \varphi \subset \{x : 0 < r \leq |x| \leq R\}$ yields for $t \leq t_0 = \frac{2}{2a}$

$$i \partial_t \langle \varphi, u_c \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle \Delta \varphi, u_c \rangle = \lambda t^{\gamma-2} \langle \varphi, l \cdot \bar{l}^\gamma u_c \rangle$$

where the term with V_1 vanishes since $(\text{Supp } \varphi) \cap \text{Supp } \chi_{|x| \leq at} = \emptyset$

By integration over the interval $[t_1, t_2]$ with $t_1 < t_2 \leq t_0$

we obtain

$$i \left(\langle \varphi, u_c(t_2) \rangle - \langle \varphi, u_c(t_1) \rangle \right) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt' \langle \Delta \varphi, u_c(t') \rangle =$$

$$= \lambda \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt' t'^{\gamma-2} \langle \varphi, l \cdot \bar{l}^\gamma \chi_{|x| \geq at'} v_+ \rangle + \lambda \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt' t'^{\gamma-2} \phi(t')$$

with $\phi(t) = \langle \varphi, (l \cdot \bar{l}^\gamma \chi_{|x| \geq at} (u_c(t) - v_+)) \rangle$

By the condition $t_1, t_2 \leq t_0$,

$$\langle \varphi, 1 \cdot \bar{t}^\gamma \chi_{|m| \geq at} v_+ \rangle = \langle \varphi, 1 \cdot \bar{t}^\gamma v_+ \rangle = \int_{-R \leq m \leq R} dx \overline{\varphi(x)} 1_x \bar{t}^\gamma v_+(x)$$

PG.15

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi, 1 \cdot \bar{t}^\gamma \chi_{|m| \geq at} (u_c(t) - v_+) \rangle &= \langle \varphi, 1 \cdot \bar{t}^\gamma (u_c(t) - v_+) \rangle \\ &= \int_{-R}^R dx \overline{\varphi(x)} 1 \cdot \bar{t}^\gamma (u_c(t, x) - v_+(x)) \end{aligned}$$

From now on the argument proceeds as for NLS and HE. This

implies $v_+ = 0$ (The fact that we use as test function space

$\mathcal{L}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})$ instead than $\mathcal{L}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is irrelevant).

In this linear case we have conservation of L^2 -norm

so that

$$\|u(t)\|_2 = \|v\|_2 = \|v_+\|_2 = 0$$

□

Asymptotic completeness for NLS in $\mathcal{Y}^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$.

AC1

Proposition (AC in $\mathcal{Y}^1(\mathbb{R})$). Let $\frac{4}{n} \leq p-1$ and in addition $p-1 < \frac{4}{m-2}$, for $m \geq 3$. Let $T > 0$. Let $u \in \mathcal{Y}_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ be solution of NLS. Then $u \in \mathcal{Y}^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$.

Proof. We will use some a priori estimates to extract some time decay. Recall PC inversion

$$u(t) = M(t) D(t) \overline{u_c(1/t)}$$

$$\therefore J(t) u(t) = J(t) M(t) D(t) \overline{u_c(1/t)} = M(t) D(t) \overline{(-i \sqrt{u_c(1/t)})}$$

$$J(t) u_c(t) = M(t) D(t) \overline{(-i \sqrt{u_c(1/t)})}$$

so that

$$\begin{pmatrix} u(t) \\ Du(t) \\ J(t)u(t) \end{pmatrix} \in L^q(\{a, b\}; L^2) \quad \text{or rather}$$

is equivalent to

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_c(t) \\ Du_c(t) \\ J(t)u_c(t) \end{pmatrix} \in L^q(\{a, b\}; L^2)$$

putting $dt \frac{1}{t} = \frac{1}{t^2} dt$.

Similarly $\begin{pmatrix} u_c \\ Du_c \\ J(\cdot)u_c \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{E}((a, b); L^2) \leadsto \begin{pmatrix} u_{ca} \\ Du_{ca} \\ J(\cdot)u_{ca} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{E}((0, 1/b); L^2)$.

The "conservation of the energy" for u_0 is obtained from the equation AC2
for m :

$$\partial_t \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u_c(t)\|_2^2 + t^{\gamma-2} \frac{2}{(p+1)} \int dx |u_c(t,x)|^{p+1} \right\} = (\gamma-2) t^{\gamma-3} \frac{2}{(p+1)} \int dx |u_c(t,x)|^{p+1}$$

where $\gamma = (p-1) \frac{n}{2}$. This can be proved formally. The effective proof requires a regularization to be removed at the end.

If $\gamma \geq 2$ $\partial_t m(t) \geq 0$ so that $\forall \omega t \leq 1$

$$m(t) \leq m(1)$$

$$\therefore \|J(t)u(t)\|_2 \leq M(u_{(1)}) \quad \forall \quad 1 \leq t < \infty.$$

This estimate implies:

$$\|u(t)\|_2 \leq C \|u(t)\|_2^{1-\delta(n)} \|J(t)u(t)\|_2^{\delta(n)} |t|^{-\delta(n)} \leq M(u_{(1)}) t^{-\delta(n)}$$

with $0 \leq \delta(n) \leq \frac{1}{2}$ for $n=1$, $0 \leq \delta(n) < 1$ for $n=2$, $0 \leq \delta(n) \leq 2$ for $n \geq 3$

- Now use the IE to exhibit the decay of all the relevant quantities

which are $u(\cdot)$, $\nabla u(\cdot)$, $J(\cdot)u(\cdot) \in L^q([0, \infty), L^2)$

for $(q, 2)$ admissible. Rewrite:

$$u(t) = U(t-t_0)u(t_0) - i \int_{t_0}^t dt' U(t-t') \lambda [u(t')]^\frac{p-1}{p} u(t')$$

and in general

AC3

$$(Au)(t) = U(t-t_0) (Au)(t_0) - i \int_{t_0}^t dt' U(t-t') A(Au(t'))^{(p-1)} u(t')$$

where $A = (\text{identity}, \nabla, J)$ and we have used

$$J(t) U(t-t') = U(t-t') J(t).$$

By the same estimates as in the solution of the Cauchy problem

at α in H^2 we can write by Strichartz:

$$\| (Au; L^q([t_0, t_1]; L^r)) \|_2 \leq c_0 \| (Au)(t_0) \|_2 +$$

$$\| (Au; L^q([t_0, t_1]; L^r)) \|_2 \cdot c_1 \| u; L^{q_3}([t_0, t_1]; L^m) \|^{p-1}.$$

with

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{2}{r} + \frac{p-1}{m} = 1 \\ \frac{2}{q} + \frac{p-1}{q_3} = 1 \end{array} \right.$$

$$(p-1) \left(\frac{n}{2} - \delta(m) \right) = 2 \delta(n)$$

$$(p-1) \left(\frac{m}{2} - \delta(m) + \frac{2}{q_3} \right) = 2$$

The last one from linear combination of the two initial equality;

AC4

The previous estimate is valid $\forall 0 \leq t_0, t_1 < \infty$. We want to show that with suitable choice of γ_3 we

$$u \in L^{q_3}([0, \infty); L^m) \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \int_0^\infty dt \|u(t)\|_m^{q_3} < \infty.$$

choose: $\delta_{(p+1)} = \delta_m = \delta_n$ which satisfies $(p-1) \left(\frac{n}{2} - \delta_{(p+1)} \right) = 2\delta_{(p+1)}$

$$\therefore (p-1) \left(\frac{n}{2} - \delta_{(p+1)} + \frac{2}{q_3} \right) = 2$$

$$\therefore \delta_{(p+1)} + \frac{p-1}{q_3} = 1 \quad \blacksquare$$

For $n=1$ $\delta_{(p+1)} \leq 1/2$, for $n=2$ $\delta_{(p+1)} < 1$, for $n \geq 3$ $\delta_{(p+1)} < 1$

$$\left(\text{puisque } p-1 < \frac{4}{n-2} \right)$$

$$\therefore 0 < q_3 < \infty.$$

De \blacksquare on a que $q_3 \delta_{(p+1)} > 1$ (qui implique $q_3 > 1$)

$$\iff \delta_{(p+1)} + (p-1) \delta_{(p+1)} > 1 \iff p \delta_{(p+1)} > 1$$

La fonction $p \mapsto p \delta_{(p+1)}$ est croissante.

Il suffit de contrôler que $p \delta_{(p+1)} > 1$

pour $p = \bar{p} = 1 + \frac{4}{n}$.

$$\overline{p} \delta(\overline{p}+1) = \left(1 + \frac{4}{n}\right) \left(\frac{n}{2} - \frac{n}{2} - \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{n}} \right) = \left(2 + \frac{n}{2}\right) \frac{\frac{2}{n}}{1 + \frac{2}{n}}$$

$$= \frac{n+4}{n+2} > 1$$

The lower bound on γ_p could be lowered.

With that choice for r, q_3, m we take α such that

$$c_1 \|u; L^{q_3}([t_0, \infty), L^m)\|^{p-1} \leq 1/2$$

so that

$$\|Au; L^q([t_0, t_1], L^2)\| \leq 2c_0 \|Au(t_0)\|_2$$

$$\forall t_0 < t_1$$

$$\therefore \|Au; L^q([t_0, \infty), L^2)\| < \infty$$

et donc $u \in Y^*(\mathbb{R}^+)$.

□