



MARCO FALCONI  
*Politecnico di Milano*

# Renormalization at any order of lattice infrared $\text{QED}_4$

*(Joint work in progress with A. Giuliani & V. Mastropietro)*

Probability Seminar  
HCM Bonn; January 26<sup>th</sup>, 2023.

# Introduction

# Constructive QFT

- *Aim of CQFT*: Define interacting QFT by constructing euclidean correlations satisfying a suitable set of axioms.
- *Techniques of CQFT*: Rigorous path integral formulation (finite volume, UV and IR cutoffs) + Wilsonian RG; Invariant measures of Stochastic PDEs + Stochastic Quantization; Perturbative and non-perturbative AQFT.
- *Successful Applications*:
  - UV  $\varphi_d^4$ ,  $d = 2, 3$  (triviality conjecture in  $d = 4$ )
  - IR  $\varphi_4^4$  in  $d \geq 4$ , and in  $d \leq 3$  with long range interactions
  - UV Thirring and IR Luttinger models in  $d = 2$
  - IR graphene ( $d = 2 + 1$ ) and Weyl semimetal ( $d = 3 + 1$ )
  - QED<sub>4</sub> with massive photon
  - IR QED<sub>d</sub>,  $d \geq 2$  and large electron mass
  - IR non-relativistic QED
  - UV QED<sub>3</sub>
  - UV  $SU(N)$  Yang-Mills in  $d = 3, 4$
- *Main Contributors*: Aizenman, Ammari, Balaban, Benfatto, Brydges, Buchholz, Chatterjee, Dereziński, Dimock, Duminil-Copin, Feldman, Fredenhagen, Fröhlich, Gallavotti, Gawedzki, Gérard, Giuliani, Glimm, Gubinelli, Guerra, Guth, Haag, Hairer, Hiroshima, Hofmanova, Hurd, Jaffe, Kupiainen, Lieb, Magnen, Mastropietro, Mattis, Nelson, Pizzo, Porta, Rivasseau, Rosen, Rychkov, Scoppola, Segal, Seiler, Seneor, Simon, Spencer, Spohn, Velo, Wightman, ...

# QED<sub>4</sub>?

- The list above does not include: QED<sub>4</sub> with massless photons and small electron mass, and the electroweak theory.
- Both theories are expected to be asymptotically free in the IR, and to have a Landau pole in the UV.
- ⚠ Small hope to remove the UV cutoff ⚠
- *Modern point of view*: QED, EW are effective theories, valid only below the great unification scale.
- No obstacle to the IR construction, even non-perturbative.

# Perturbative IR QED<sub>4</sub>

- At present, there is not even a satisfactory construction of infrared QED<sub>4</sub> at all orders in renormalized perturbation theory!
- It is crucial to reconcile Gauge Invariance/Ward Identities with the Wilsonian RG.

- There are two important works in the literature on the perturbative renormalizability of IR QED<sub>4</sub> that are *intrinsically perturbative*: [Feldman-Hurd-Rosen-Wright \(1988\)](#) and [Keller-Kopper \(1996\)](#).

**FHRW.** They use Gallavotti-Niccolò trees. In order to preserve the Ward identities, they introduce a *loop regularization* via a fermionic and two bosonic auxiliary fields, with action

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 \bar{\phi}_i (-i\partial + M_i + eA) \phi_i ;$$

these additional terms make the model unstable.

**KK.** They use Polchinski's flow equations. Non-gauge invariant counterterms such as  $A^2$  and  $A^4$  are added, that could make the model unstable if they have the wrong sign (for the  $A^4$  term, see [Bonini-D'Attanasio-Marchesini \(1994\)](#)).

- Another interesting work, [Dimock-Hurd \(1992\)](#), assumes a *very large electron mass* ( $10^2$  ratio with UV cutoff scale compared to  $10^{-10}$  physical ratio):

**DH.** They integrate out the electron field, and use Brydges-Yau techniques for the effective photon theory, taking crucial advantage of the large electron mass.

## Outline of main results

- Our results concern perturbative IR QED<sub>4</sub> at all orders, using a construction that overcome the previous drawbacks, and hopefully paves the way to a non-perturbative treatment. [We extend ideas previously developed for Weyl semimetals (IR QED<sub>4</sub> with massive photon) by [Giuliani-Mastropietro-Portal \(2012\)](#)]
- Massless electron (small electron mass as a corollary).
- UV cutoff (not to be removed) introduced in a “gauge-invariant” way by setting the model on a rectangular lattice, with lattice spacing  $\ell$ .
- One single gauge-invariant counterterm, for the electron mass.
- Regularized model non-perturbatively well-defined, for small enough bare electron mass counterterm, and electric charge.
- The electric charge flows to zero in the IR (asymptotic freedom), driving the photon wavefunction renormalization to diverge logarithmically as expected (and canceling the spurious non-gauge-invariant terms generated by the RG flow, see below).

# Lattice QED<sub>4</sub>



# The Bare Action

$$S_0(\psi, A) = \frac{\ell^4}{2} \sum_{x \in \Lambda} \left( \sum_{\mu < \nu} |dA(x, \mu, \nu)|^2 + \sum_{\mu} [\bar{\psi}(x) \gamma_{\mu} d_A \psi(x, \mu) - d_A \bar{\psi}(x, \mu) \gamma_{\mu} \psi(x) + r \ell d_A \bar{\psi}(x, \mu) d_A \psi(x, \mu)] + 2\ell^{-1} \nu_N \bar{\psi}(x) \psi(x) \right)$$

- $\Lambda = \ell \mathbb{Z}^4 / L \mathbb{Z}^4$
- $\ell = \ell_0 2^N$  lattice spacing,  $L (\rightarrow \infty)$  size of the box
- $x \in \Lambda$  lattice vertices,  $(x, \mu) \in \Lambda^1$  oriented edges,  $(x, \mu, \nu) \in \Lambda^2$  oriented faces ( $\mu < \nu$ ), ...
- $\{\psi(x), \bar{\psi}(x)\}_{x \in \Lambda}$  Electron field (Grassmann field with 4 spinor components, antiperiodic b.c.)
- $\{A(x, \mu)\}_{(x, \mu) \in \Lambda^1}$  Photon field (real 1-form, with periodic b.c.)

- $r$  is the **Wilson mass** (to avoid the appearance of “unphysical particles” in the lattice model – preferred by us to fermion doubling)
- $v_N$  is the **electron mass counterterm**
- $dA(x, \mu, \nu) = \ell^{-1} (A(x, \mu) - A(x, \nu) - A(x + \ell_\mu, \mu) + A(x + \ell_\mu, \nu))$
- $d_A \psi(x, \mu) = \ell^{-1} (e^{i\ell e_N A(x, \mu)} \psi(x + \ell_\mu) - \psi(x))$
- $d_A \bar{\psi}(x, \mu) = \ell^{-1} (e^{-i\ell e_N A(x, \mu)} \bar{\psi}(x + \ell_\mu) - \bar{\psi}(x))$
- $e_N$  is the **bare electric charge**

## Remark

$S_0(\sqrt{Z_N^\psi} \psi, \sqrt{Z_N^A} A)$  is invariant under the local  $U(1)$  gauge transformation

$$\begin{cases} \bar{\psi}(x) \mapsto \bar{\psi}(x) e^{ie_N \sqrt{Z_N^A} \alpha(x)} \\ \psi(x) \mapsto \psi(x) e^{-ie_N \sqrt{Z_N^A} \alpha(x)} \\ A(x, \mu) \mapsto A(x, \mu) + d_0 \alpha(x, \mu) \end{cases}$$

# Gauge Fixing

- The average of observables

$$\langle O \rangle_\Lambda = \frac{\int \mathcal{D}\psi \int \mathcal{D}A e^{-S_0(\sqrt{Z_N^\psi} \psi, \sqrt{Z_N^A} A)} O(\psi, A)}{\int \mathcal{D}\psi \int \mathcal{D}A e^{-S_0(\sqrt{Z_N^\psi} \psi, \sqrt{Z_N^A} A)}}$$

does not make sense due to the existence of null directions yielded by gauge transformations, making the integrals divergent.

- Gauge fixing:

$$S_\xi(\psi, A) = \frac{\ell^4}{2} \sum_{x \in \Lambda} \left( \sum_{\mu < \nu} |dA(x, \mu, \nu)|^2 + \xi |d^*A(x)|^2 + \sum_{\mu} [\bar{\psi}(x) \gamma_{\mu} d_A \psi(x, \mu) - d_A \bar{\psi}(x, \mu) \gamma_{\mu} \psi(x) + r \ell d_A \bar{\psi}(x, \mu) d_A \psi(x, \mu)] + 2\ell^{-1} \nu_N \bar{\psi}(x) \psi(x) \right)$$

with  $\xi > 0$ ,  $d^*A(x) = \ell^{-1} \sum_{\mu} (A(x - \ell_{\mu}, \mu) - A(x, \mu))$ .

# Breaking gauge invariance (part I)

- $\langle O \rangle_{\xi; \Lambda}$  is still ill-defined: there are still null directions due to constant  $A(\cdot, \mu)$  (zero-modes of  $A$ ).
- We introduce an infrared cutoff  $h^*$  in the photon covariance:

$$\langle O \rangle_{\xi; h^*, \Lambda} = \frac{\int P(\mathcal{D}\psi) \int P_{\xi; \geq h^*}(\mathcal{D}A) e^{-V^{(N)}(\sqrt{Z_N^\psi} \psi, \sqrt{Z_N^A} A)} O(\psi, A)}{\int P(\mathcal{D}\psi) \int P_{\xi; \geq h^*}(\mathcal{D}A) e^{-V^{(N)}(\sqrt{Z_N^\psi} \psi, \sqrt{Z_N^A} A)}}$$

- $P(\mathcal{D}\psi)$  is the Grassmann Gaussian measure with covariance

$$g(x-y) = \frac{1}{Z_N^\psi} \int \mathbf{d}k \frac{e^{-ik \cdot (x-y)}}{-i\not{k}(k) + M_N(k)}$$

with  $\not{k}(k) = \ell^{-1} \sum_{\mu} \gamma_{\mu} \sin(\ell k_{\mu})$  and  $M_N(k) = 2r\ell^{-1} \sum_{\mu} \sin^2(\frac{1}{2}\ell k_{\mu})$ .

- $P_{\xi; \geq h^*}(\mathcal{D}A)$  is the Gaussian measure with covariance

$$G_{\xi; \mu\nu}(x-y) = \int \mathrm{d}k e^{-ik \cdot (x-y)} \frac{1 - \chi_{h^*}(k)}{|\sigma(k)|^2} \left( \frac{1}{Z_N^A} \left( \delta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{\sigma_\mu(k) \overline{\sigma_\nu(k)}}{|\sigma(k)|^2} \right) + \frac{1}{\xi} \frac{\sigma_\mu(k) \overline{\sigma_\nu(k)}}{|\sigma(k)|^2} \right).$$



where  $\sigma(k) = (\sigma_0(k), \sigma_1(k), \sigma_2(k), \sigma_3(k))$ ,  $\sigma_\mu(k) = \frac{i}{\ell} (e^{-i\ell k_\mu} - 1)$ , and  $\chi_{h^*}(k) = \chi(2^{-h^*} \ell_0 k)$  with  $\chi$  smooth, radial, compactly supported, monotone decreasing in  $|\cdot|$ , such that  $\chi(k) = 1$  for  $|k| \leq 1$  and  $\chi(k) = 0$  for  $|k| \geq 2$ .

- $V^{(N)}(\psi, A) = S_0(\psi, A) - \frac{\ell^4}{2} \sum_{x \in \Lambda} \left( \sum_{\mu < \nu} |\mathrm{d}A(x, \mu, \nu)|^2 + \sum_{\mu} (\bar{\psi}(x) \gamma_\mu \mathrm{d}_0 \psi(x, \mu) - \mathrm{d}_0 \bar{\psi}(x) \gamma_\mu \psi(x) + r \ell \mathrm{d}_0 \bar{\psi}(x, \mu) \mathrm{d}\psi(x, \mu)) \right).$

## Proposition

$\langle O \rangle_{\xi; h^*, \Lambda}$  is well-defined (the functional integral is analytic) for  $e_N, \nu_N$  small.

## Remark

-  In addition to gauge fixing, we broke gauge invariance with  $h^*$  
- This breaking of gauge invariance is “soft enough” to preserve the crucial Ward Identities:  $V^N$  is still gauge invariant.

## Lemma

If  $O(\psi, A)$  is gauge-invariant, then  $\langle O \rangle_{\xi; h^*, \Lambda} \equiv \langle O \rangle_{h^*, \Lambda}$  is independent of  $\xi$ .

- Hence we choose  $\xi \rightarrow \infty$  (Lorenz gauge), making the photon propagator purely transverse

$$G_{\mu\nu}(x-y) = \frac{1}{Z_N^A} \int \mathrm{d}k e^{-ik \cdot (x-y)} \frac{1 - \chi_{h^*}(k)}{|\sigma(k)|^2} \left( \delta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{\sigma_\mu(k) \overline{\sigma_\nu(k)}}{|\sigma(k)|^2} \right).$$

- We can remove both cutoffs  $L \rightarrow \infty$  (before, for convenience) and  $h^* \rightarrow -\infty$ , hence we define the averages (we are only able to show their existence perturbatively – i.e. for a truncated model – see below)

$$\langle O \rangle := \lim_{h^* \rightarrow -\infty} \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \langle O \rangle_{\infty; h^*, \Lambda}$$

- To study correlations, we also introduce the generating function

$$e^{W_{h^*, \Lambda}(\phi, J)} = \int P(\mathcal{D}\psi) \int P_{\infty; \geq h^*}(\mathcal{D}A) e^{-V^{(N)}\left(\sqrt{Z_N^\psi} \psi, \sqrt{Z_N^A} (A+J)\right) + Z_N^\psi(\phi, \psi)}.$$

# Ward Identities

- Gauge invariance of the interaction (and the properties of Gaussian integration) imply that

$$W_{h^*, \Lambda}(\phi, J) = W_{h^*, \Lambda}(e^{-ie_N \sqrt{Z_N^A} \alpha} \phi, J + d\alpha).$$

- Differentiating the above w.r.t.  $\alpha$  we get exact Ward Identities on the lattice:

$$\sum_{\mu} \sigma_{\mu}(p) \hat{\Pi}_{\mu, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_{n-1}}(p, p_1, \dots, p_{n-2}) = 0$$

$$\sum_{\mu} \sigma_{\mu}(p) \hat{\Gamma}_{\mu}(p, k) = e_N \sqrt{Z_N^A} (\hat{g}(k) - \hat{g}(k + p))$$



- $\Pi_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{\delta^n W_{h^*, \Lambda}(0, J)}{\delta J(x_1, \mu_1) \cdots \delta J(x_n, \mu_n)} \Big|_{J=0}$
- $\Gamma_{\mu}(x, y, z) = \frac{\delta^3 W_{h^*, \Lambda}(\phi, J)}{\delta J(x, \mu) \delta \phi(z) \delta \bar{\phi}(y)} \Big|_{\phi=J=0}$
- $g(x, y) = \frac{\delta^2 W_{h^*, \Lambda}(\phi, 0)}{\delta \phi(y) \delta \bar{\phi}(x)} \Big|_{\phi=0}$

# Renormalization Group Analysis

# Multiscale slicing of the integral

- $$g = g^{(\leq N-1)} + g^{(N)}, \quad G_{\mu\nu} = G_{\mu\nu}^{\leq N-1} + G_{\mu\nu}^{(N)}$$
- $g^{(N)}, G_{\mu\nu}^{(N)}$  supported on  $k \sim \ell_0^{-1} 2^N$  (using  $\chi_N$ ).
- $$\psi = \psi^{(\leq N-1)} + \psi^{(N)}, \quad A = A^{\leq N-1} + A^{(N)}$$
- $$P(\mathcal{D}\psi) = P_{\leq N-1}(\mathcal{D}\psi^{(\leq N-1)}) \times P_N(\mathcal{D}\psi^{(N)}),$$

$$P_{\infty; \geq h^*}(\mathcal{D}A) = P_{[h^*, N-1]}(\mathcal{D}A^{\leq N-1}) \times P_N(\mathcal{D}A^{(N)})$$
- Now we can integrate  $P_N(\mathcal{D}\psi^{(N)})$  and  $P_N(\mathcal{D}A^{(N)})$  out, and iterate by then integrating out slices of momenta  $\sim 2^{N-1}, \dots, 2^h, \dots$

# Generating function at scale $h > h^*$

$$e^{W_{h^*, \Lambda}(\phi, J)} = e^{|\Lambda| \sum_{h < k \leq N} E^{(k)}} \int P_{\leq h}(\mathcal{D}\psi) \int P_{[h^*, h]}(\mathcal{D}A) e^{-V^{(h)}\left(\sqrt{Z_h^\psi} \psi, \sqrt{Z_h^A} (A+J), \sqrt{Z_h^\psi} \phi\right)}$$

- The fermionic measure is Gaussian with covariance

$$\hat{g}^{(\leq h)}(k) = \frac{1}{Z_h^\psi} \frac{\chi_h(k)}{-i\mathcal{I}(k) + M_h(k)}, \quad M_h(k) = \frac{Z_N^\psi}{Z_h^\psi} M_N(k).$$

- The bosonic measure is Gaussian with covariance

$$\hat{G}_{\mu\nu}^{(\leq h)}(k) = \frac{1}{Z_h^A} \frac{\chi_h(k) - \chi_{h^*}(k)}{|\sigma(k)|^2} \left( \delta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{\sigma_\mu(k) \overline{\sigma_\nu(k)}}{|\sigma(k)|^2} \right).$$

- $V^{(h)} = \mathcal{L}V^{(h)} + \mathcal{R}V^{(h)}$
- $\mathcal{R}V^{(h)} = \mathcal{R}V_{\text{B}}^{(h),\infty} + \mathcal{R}V_{\text{FSE}}^{(h)}$
- Using discrete symmetries ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{L}V^{(h)}(\psi, A, \phi) &= 2^h \tilde{\nu}_h \sum_x \bar{\psi}(x) \psi(x) + \frac{\tilde{z}_h^\psi}{2} \sum_{x,\mu} (\bar{\psi}(x) \gamma_\mu d\psi(x, \mu) - d\bar{\psi}(x, \mu) \gamma_\mu \psi(x)) \\
 &+ \tilde{\eta}_h(\phi, \psi) + i\tilde{e}_h \sum_x \bar{\psi}(x) A(x) \psi(x) + 2^{2h} \tilde{m}_h \sum_{x,\mu} A(x, \mu)^2 + \frac{\tilde{z}_h^A}{2} \sum_{x,\mu,\nu} |dA(x, \mu, \nu)|^2 \\
 &+ \tilde{R}_h \sum_{x,\mu,\nu} \partial_\mu A(x, \mu) \partial_\nu A(x, \nu) + \tilde{S}_h \sum_{x,\mu} (\partial_\mu A(x, \mu))^2 + \tilde{\kappa}_h \sum_{x,\mu} A(x, \mu)^4 \\
 &+ \tilde{\zeta}_h \sum_{x,\mu,\nu} A(x, \mu)^2 A(x, \nu)^2
 \end{aligned}$$

- **Non-gauge-invariant terms** are spurious, and must be shown to flow to zero in the limit  $h^* \rightarrow -\infty$ .

## RCCs

- The procedure outlined above allows us to express  $V^{(h)}$  as a function of the Running Coupling Constants:

$$\mathbf{v}_h = \left\{ v_h, e_h, \eta_h, z_h^\Psi, z_h^A, m_h, R_h, S_h, \kappa_h, \zeta_h \right\}$$

- $z_h^\Psi = \frac{Z_h^\Psi}{Z_{h+1}^\Psi} - 1$ ,  $z_h^A = \frac{Z_h^A}{Z_{h+1}^A} - 1$ .
- $\mathbf{v}_h$  is defined recursively, and thus it depends itself on  $\{\mathbf{v}_k\}_{h < k \leq N}$ . The recursive equations are known as Beta function equations:

$$\begin{aligned} v_h &= 2v_{h+1} + B_h^v(\{\mathbf{v}_k\}_{h < k \leq N}) & e_h &= e_{h+1} + B_h^e(\{\mathbf{v}_k\}_{h < k \leq N}) \\ z_h^\Psi &= B_h^{z^\Psi}(\{\mathbf{v}_k\}_{h < k \leq N}) & z_h^A &= B_h^{z^A}(\{\mathbf{v}_k\}_{h < k \leq N}) \\ m_h &= 4m_{h+1} + B_h^m(\{\mathbf{v}_k\}_{h < k \leq N}) & \#_h &= \#_{h+1} + B_h^\#(\{\mathbf{v}_k\}_{h < k \leq N}) \end{aligned}$$

with  $\# \in \{\eta, R, S, \kappa, \zeta\}$ .

- The iteration goes on until the scale  $h^*$ . At this point the bosons are integrated out completely, and the resulting fermionic theory has an irrelevant quartic interaction. As a result, at scales  $h' < h$ , the RCCs are “frozen” at their values at  $h^*$ .
- The iterative procedure is non-perturbative in nature, however the kernels of  $V^{(h)}$  are written as a formal power series in  $\{\mathbf{v}_k\}_{h < k \leq N}$ , with coefficients bounded in  $L^1$  norm by  $C^n n!$ .
- Truncations of this series are sensible as long as the RCCs are small enough, uniformly in  $h$ ; we will show this by studying the solution to truncations of the Beta function equations.

# Flow of the Running Coupling Constants



# Controlling the spurious RCCs (and electron mass)

- The flow of  $\nu_h$  to zero is controlled by tuning the counterterm  $\nu_N$  properly.
- WI + Explicit control of a few diagrams  $\Rightarrow \#_{h^*} = e_{h^*} O(\varepsilon^{\lambda_{\#}})$ .
- Since  $\lim_{h^* \rightarrow -\infty} e_{h^*} = 0$ , the non-gauge-invariant terms vanish in the IR.

# The flow of physical RCCs

- Vertex WI  $\Rightarrow$   $\sqrt{Z_{h^*}^A} e_{h^*} = \sqrt{Z_N^A} e_N (1 + O(e_{h^*}^2))$  .
- $z_{h^*}^A = \frac{\ln 2}{6\pi^2} e_{h^*}^2 + O(e_{h^*}^4)$
- $\therefore$   $Z_{h^*}^A = Z_N^A \left( 1 + \frac{\ln 2}{6\pi^2} e_N^2 ((N - h^*) + \dots) + O(e_N^4) \right)$
- $\therefore$   $e_{h^*}^2 = \frac{e_N^2}{1 + \frac{\ln 2}{6\pi^2} e_N^2 (N - h^*) + \dots}$
- $Z_{h^*}^\psi = Z_N^\psi (1 + O(e_{h^*}^2))$  (this flow is gauge-dependent, true in Lorenz gauge)

# Optimal UV cutoff

- If we fix  $e_0$  such that  $e_0^2 = \frac{4\pi}{137} \sim 0.092$ , we get

$$e_N^2 \simeq \frac{e_0^2}{1 - \frac{\ln 2}{6\pi^2} e_0^2 N + \dots}$$

hence to make  $e_N$  small, one needs  $N \leq C e_0^{-2}$ , i.e.  $\ell \geq \ell_0 e^{-C e_0^{-2}}$ .

# Outlook

## Further Steps

- Anisotropic Lattice (emergent symmetries ?)
- Non-perturbative definition of LQED: new ideas are required (Balaban-Dimock renormalization scheme ?)
- IR Lattice Electroweak Model (?)

**Thank you for the attention!**